Shasta County - Redding GW Basin

Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	4.6
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-6.9
Average Change GWE (ft)	-0.3
Average Well Depth (ft)	124
Number of Wells Monitored	12

Tehama County - Redding GW Basin

Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	3.1
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-0.8
Average Change GWE (ft)	0.5
Average Well Depth (ft)	120
Number of Wells Monitored	6

Tehama County - Sacramento Valley GW Basin

Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	5.5
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-6.3
Average Change GWE (ft)	-1.1
Average Well Depth (ft)	119
Number of Wells Monitored	46

Glenn County - Sacramento Valley GW Basin

Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	9.1
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-8.3
Average Change GWE (ft)	-0.7
Average Well Depth (ft)	112
Number of Wells Monitored	57

Colusa County - Sacramento Valley GW Basin

Maximum Increase GWL(ft)	1.4
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-6.6
Average Change GWE (ft)	-1.4
Average Well Depth (ft)	128
Number of Wells Monitored	22

Butte County - Sacramento Valley GW Basin

Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	2.3
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-6.0
Average Change GWE (ft)	-1.6
Average Well Depth (ft)	133
Number of Wells Monitored	42

Summary Results for Spring 2012 to Spring 2013
Change in Groundwater Elevation

Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	9.1
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-8.3
Average Change GWE (ft)	-1.0
Average Well Depth (ft)	121
Number of Wells Monitored	185

Monitoring Well
County Boundaries
Redding GW Basin
Sacramento Valley GW Basin

N

0 1 2 4 6
Miles

Change in Groundwater Elevation

- Greater than 8 feet higher
- > 6 to 8 feet higher
- > 4 to 6 feet higher
- > 2 to 4 feet higher
- 0 to 2 feet higher
- > 0 to 2 feet lower
- > 2 to 4 feet lower
- > 4 to 6 feet lower
- > 6 to 8 feet lower
- Greater than 8 feet lower

NOTES

Note 1: A positive number indicates that groundwater elevations were higher in the current year than in the previous year. A negative number indicates that groundwater elevations were lower in the current year than in the previous year.

Note 2: Statistical analysis is based on the number of wells monitored within each county. Summary results are based on the total number of wells monitored, not averages of the statistical analysis of individual counties.

Note 3: This map may not use all the color ranges shown in table above. Some wells may not be visible on map due to the close proximity to each other.

Note 4: Groundwater level changes are based on groundwater level measurements taken from wells constructed in the shallow aquifer zone at similar dates of different years. These wells include those that have screened intervals and well depths that are less than 200 ft.

Note 5: Change in groundwater elevations at the individual well locations are based on the measured level of the actual water table of unconfined wells or the hydrostatic level (piezometric surface) of the groundwater at semi-confined or confined wells. Contoured color ramping and change in groundwater elevation estimates between monitoring wells is a computer generated calculations using the availability and proximity of surrounding monitoring well measurements. As such, the calculated change in groundwater elevation between individual monitoring wells should be considered approximate. The accuracy of the estimated contour is directly related to the spacing and the distribution of nearby monitoring wells, the similarity of nearby monitoring well construction, and the local changes or similarities in aquifer characteristics.

Note 6: GWE - Groundwater Elevation
bgs - below ground surface

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
THE RESOURCES AGENCY
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
NORTHERN REGION OFFICE
2440 Main Street
Red Bluff, California 96080
(530) 529-7300

NORTHERN SACRAMENTO VALLEY CHANGE IN GROUNDWATER ELEVATION MAP SPRING 2012 TO SPRING 2013

SHALLOW AQUIFER ZONE

(Well depths less than 200 ft bgs)

PLATE 1S-A

Date: July 2013

BY: G. Gordon

http://www.water.ca.gov/groundwater/data_and_monitoring/northern_region/GroundwaterLevel/gw_level_monitoring.cfm

Path: R:\Sections\DCM\CHANGE_MAP\2013\2012_2013_SpringArcMap\Shallow_Wells_Spring-2012-2013.mxd